## COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 14400 San Francisco, CA 94102

Contact: Victoria B. Henley

Director-Chief Counsel

(415) 557-1200

FOR RELEASE April 12, 2011

## JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE COMMISSION INSTITUTES FORMAL PROCEEDINGS CONCERNING JUDGE RICHARD W. STANFORD, JR.

The Commission on Judicial Performance has instituted formal proceedings to inquire into matters concerning Judge Richard W. Stanford, Jr., of the Orange County Superior Court. The commencement of formal proceedings is not a determination of judicial misconduct. The formal proceedings concern allegations that the judge engaged in a pattern of misconduct in the handling of traffic matters on behalf of friends, acquaintances, a spouse of a family member and a member of court staff that included engaging in ex parte communications, transferring matters to his department that would not ordinarily come before him, disposing of the matters ex parte and waiving fines, without requiring the individuals' presence in court.

It is alleged that these actions constitute willful misconduct in office, conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice that brings the judicial office into disrepute and improper action.

In accordance with the rules that govern Commission proceedings, a hearing will be conducted by Special Masters appointed by the Supreme Court. At the hearing, the parties will have an opportunity to introduce evidence and examine and cross-examine witnesses. Judge Stanford is represented by attorney Paul S. Meyer, Esq., of Costa Mesa, California.

Following completion of the hearing, the Special Masters will provide the Commission with a report containing findings with respect to the charges. The parties will have an opportunity to present their views on the report to the Commission through briefing and argument. If the Commission determines that charges are proved by clear and convincing evidence, it is empowered to remove, censure, publicly admonish, or privately discipline the judge. Charges that the Commission determines are not proved will be dismissed. A determination by the Commission to remove, censure, or admonish a judge is subject to discretionary review by the Supreme Court upon petition by the judge.

The Notice of Formal Proceedings is available for public inspection at the Commission office. A copy of the Notice of Formal Proceedings is also posted on the Commission's Web site at <a href="https://www.cjp.ca.gov">www.cjp.ca.gov</a> (under "Press Releases"). Judge Stanford's Answer to the Notice is due April 27, 2011. Upon filing, the judge's answer will be made available for public inspection.

The Commission is composed of three judges, two lawyers, and six public members. The Chairperson is the Hon. Judith D. McConnell of the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, in San Diego, California. Commission members Hon. Frederick P. Horn and Peter E. Flores, Jr., Esq., are recused in this matter.

For further information about the Commission on Judicial Performance, see the Commission's Web site.